

K-RELATIVE FIX POINTS OF FUNCTIONS OF CLASS II

DIBYENDU BANERJEE^{1,*}, SUMANTA GHOSH²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan-731235, West Bengal, India*

²*Ranaghat P.C. High School, Ranaghat-741201, Nadia, West Bengal, India*

*Correspondence: dibyendu192@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT. Introducing the idea of k-relative iteration we prove a fix point theorem for k-relative iterated functions of class II.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

A single valued function $f(z)$ of the complex variable z is said to belong to (i) class I if $f(z)$ is entire transcendental and (ii) class II if it is regular in the complex plane punctured at $a, b (a \neq b)$ having an essential singularity at b , and a singularity at a and $f(z)$ omits the values a and b anywhere in the complex plane except possible at the point a .

For simplicity we take $a = 0$ and $b = \infty$.

The functions $f_n(z)$ of $f(z)$ are defined inductively by

$$f_0(z) = z \text{ and } f_{n+1}(z) = f(f_n(z)) \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

A point α is called a fix point of $f(z)$ of order n if α is a solution of $f_n(z) = z$ and called a fix point of exact order n if α is a solution of $f_n(z) = z$ but not for $f_k(z) = z, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

In this direction, Baker [1] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. . *If $f(z)$ belongs to class I, then $f(z)$ has fix points of exact order n , except for at most one value of n .*

Bhattacharyya [2] extended Theorem 1.1 for the functions of class II as follows.

Theorem 1.2. . *If $f(z)$ belongs to class II, then $f(z)$ has infinitely many fix points of exact order n , for every positive integer n .*

Keywords: Generalised fix points, exact order, class II functions.

After this in [5], Lahiri and Banerjee introducing the concept of relative iteration [defined below] proved a fixed point theorem.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be functions of the complex variable z .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= f(z) \\ f_2(z) &= f(g(z)) = f(g_1(z)) \\ f_3(z) &= f(g(f(z))) = f(g(f_1(z))) \\ &\vdots \\ f_n(z) &= f(g(f(g\dots(f(z) \text{ or } g(z) \text{ according} \\ &\quad \text{as } n \text{ is odd or even)\dots))) \\ &= f(g_{n-1}(z)) = f(g(f_{n-2}(z))). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z) &= g(z) \\ g_2(z) &= g(f(z)) = g(f_1(z)) \\ g_3(z) &= g(f_2(z)) = g(f(g_1(z))) \\ &\vdots \\ g_n(z) &= g(f_{n-1}(z)) = g(f(g_{n-2}(z))). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly all $f_n(z)$ and $g_n(z)$ are functions in class II, if $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are so.

A point α is called a fix point of $f(z)$ of order n with respect to $g(z)$, if $f_n(\alpha) = \alpha$ and a fix point of exact order n if $f_n(\alpha) = \alpha$ but $f_k(\alpha) \neq \alpha, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$. These points α are also called relative fix points.

Theorem 1.3. . *If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to class II, then $f(z)$ has infinitely many relative fix points of exact order n for every positive integer n , provided $\frac{T(r, g_n)}{T(r, f_n)}$ is bounded.*

In this paper we first consider k (≥ 2) functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k and form their k-relative iterations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^1(z) &= f_1(z) \\ F_2^1(z) &= f_1(f_2(z)) = f_1(F_1^2(z)) \\ F_3^1(z) &= f_1(f_2(f_3(z))) = f_1(f_2(F_1^3(z))) = f_1(F_2^2(z)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vdots \\
F_k^1(z) &= f_1(f_2(\dots f_k(z))) = f_1(F_{k-1}^2(z)) = f_1(f_2(F_{k-2}^3(z))) \\
&= \dots = f_1(f_2 \dots f_{k-1}(F_1^k(z))) \\
& \vdots \\
F_n^1(z) &= f_1(f_2(f_3 \dots (f_1(z) \text{ or } f_2(z) \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } f_k(z) \text{ according} \\
&\text{ as } n = km - (k-1) \text{ or } km - (k-2) \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } km) \dots)) \\
&= f_1(F_{n-1}^2(z)) \\
&= f_1(f_2(F_{n-2}^3(z))) \\
&= \dots \\
&= f_1(f_2(\dots (f_{k-1}(F_{n-(k-1)}^k(z))))).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1^2(z) &= f_2(z) \\
F_2^2(z) &= f_2(f_3(z)) = f_2(F_1^3(z)) \\
F_3^2(z) &= f_2(f_3(f_4(z))) = f_2(f_3(F_1^4(z))) = f_2(F_2^3(z)) \\
& \vdots \\
F_k^2(z) &= f_2(f_3(\dots f_k(f_1(z)))) = f_2(F_{k-1}^3(z)) = \dots \\
&= f_2(f_3(\dots f_k(F_1^1(z)))) \\
& \vdots \\
F_n^2(z) &= f_2(f_3(f_4 \dots (f_2(z) \text{ or } f_3(z) \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } f_k(z) \text{ or } f_1(z) \text{ according} \\
&\text{ as } n = km - (k-1) \text{ or } km - (k-2) \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } (km-1) \text{ or } km) \dots)) \\
&= f_2(F_{n-1}^3(z)) \\
&= f_2(f_3(F_{n-2}^4(z))) \\
&= \dots \\
&= f_2(f_3(\dots (f_k(F_{n-(k-1)}^1(z))))).
\end{aligned}$$

.

.

.

And

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1^k(z) &= f_k(z) \\
F_2^k(z) &= f_k(f_1(z)) = f_k(F_1^1(z)) \\
F_3^k(z) &= f_k(f_1(f_2(z))) = f_k(f_1(F_1^2(z))) = f_k(F_2^1(z)) \\
&\vdots \\
F_k^k(z) &= f_k(f_1(\dots f_{k-2}(f_{k-1}(z)))) = \dots \\
&= f_k(f_1(\dots f_{k-2}(F_1^{k-1}(z)))) \\
&\vdots \\
F_n^k(z) &= f_k(f_1(f_2\dots(f_k(z) \text{ or } f_1(z) \text{ or } \dots \text{or } f_{k-1}(z) \text{ according} \\
&\text{ as } n = km - (k - 1) \text{ or } km - (k - 2) \text{ or } \dots \text{or } km) \dots)) \\
&= f_k(F_{n-1}^1(z)) \\
&= f_k(f_1(F_{n-2}^2(z))) \\
&= \dots \\
&= f_k\left(f_1\left(\dots\left(f_{k-2}\left(F_{n-(k-1)}^{k-1}(z)\right)\right)\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following definition.

Definition 1.4. *A point α is called a fix point of $f_1(z)$ of order n with respect to $f_2(z)$, $f_3(z)$, \dots , $f_k(z)$ if $F_n^1(\alpha) = \alpha$ and a fix point of $f_1(z)$ of exact order n with respect to $f_2(z)$, $f_3(z)$, \dots , $f_k(z)$ if $F_n^1(\alpha) = \alpha$ but $F_s^1(\alpha) \neq \alpha$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. These points are called k -relative fix points.*

Example 1.5. *Let $f_1(z) = z + 1$, $f_2(z) = z + 2$, $f_3(z) = z + 3, \dots$, $f_{k-1}(z) = z + (k - 1)$ and $f_k(z) = 2z + k$. Then $F_k^1(z) = 2z + \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$. Then $z = -\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ is fix point of $f_1(z)$ of exact order k .*

Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $0 < r_0 \leq |z| < \infty$.

From the first fundamental theorem

$$(1) \quad m(r, a, f) + N(r, a, f) = T(r, f) + O(\log r),$$

where $0 < r_0 \leq |z| < \infty$.

Suppose that $f(z)$ is non-constant and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q; q \geq 2$ be distinct finite complex numbers with $\delta > 0$ and $|a_\mu - a_\nu| \geq \delta$ for $1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq q$. Then

$$(2) \quad m(r, f) + \sum_{v=1}^q m(r, a_v, f) \leq 2T(r, f) - N_1(r) + S(r),$$

where

$$N_1(r) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + 2N(r, f) - N(r, f'),$$

and

$$S(r) = m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + \sum_{v=1}^q m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f - a_v}\right) + O(\log r).$$

Adding $N(r, f) + \sum_{v=1}^q N(r, a_v, f)$ to both sides of (2) and using (1), we have

$$(3) \quad (q-1)T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{N}(r, a_v, f) + S_1(r),$$

where $S_1(r) = O(\log T(r, f))$ and \bar{N} corresponds to distinct roots.

Further if f_n has an essential singularity at ∞ , we have $\frac{\log r}{T(r, f_n)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ [3].

2. LEMMA

To prove the main result we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. . *If n is any positive integer and f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k are k (≥ 2) functions in class II, then for any $r_0 > 0$ and a suitable positive integer M_1 , we have*

$$\frac{T(r, F_{n+p}^1)}{T(r, F_n^1)} > M_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{T(r, F_{n+p}^2)}{T(r, F_n^1)} > M_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \dots \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{T(r, F_{n+p}^k)}{T(r, F_n^1)} > M_1$$

according as $p = km$ or $km - 1$ or...or $km - (k - 1)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ for all large r , except a set of r intervals of total finite length.

Proof. Let $p = km - l$, $l \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$.

Then we consider the equation $F_{n+p}^i(z) = a$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ according as $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, where $a \neq 0, \infty$.

We now show that $F_{n+p}^k(z) = F_p^k(F_n^1(z))$ where $p = km - (k - 1)$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+p}^k(z) &= f_k(F_{n+p-1}^1(z)) \\ &= f_k(f_1(F_{n+p-2}^2(z))) \\ &= f_k(f_1(f_2(F_{n+p-3}^3(z)))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \dots \\
&= f_k(f_1(f_2 \dots (f_k(F_{n+p-(k+1)}^1(z)))))) \\
&= \underbrace{f_k(f_1(f_2(\dots f_k(F_{n+p-\{2k-(k-1)\}}^1(z))))))}_{\text{no. of functions}} \\
&\quad 2k - (k - 1) \\
&= \dots \\
&= \underbrace{f_k(f_1(f_2(\dots f_k(f_1(f_2(\dots f_k(F_{n+p-\{3k-(k-1)\}}^1(z))))))))}_{\text{no. of functions}} \\
&\quad 3k - (k - 1) \\
&= \dots \\
&= \underbrace{f_k(f_1(f_2(\dots f_k(F_{n+p-\{km-(k-1)\}}^1(z))))))}_{\text{no. of functions}} \\
&\quad km - (k - 1) \\
&= F_p^k(F_n^1(z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F_p^i(F_n^1(z)) = a$. This is equivalent to $F_p^i(u_j^l) = a$ where $F_n^1(z) = u_j^l$, ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

Because F_p^i is transcendental, $F_p^i(u_j^l) = a$ has infinitely many roots for every complex number a with two exceptions $a = 0, \infty$.

We have from (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F_{n+p}^i) &= m(r, a, F_{n+p}^i) + N(r, a, F_{n+p}^i) + O(\log r) \\
&\geq \bar{N}(r, a, F_{n+p}^i) + O(\log r) \\
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^M \bar{N}(r, u_j^l, F_n^1)
\end{aligned}$$

for a fixed $M (> 4)$.

From (3), taking $a_v = u_j^l$, $f = F_n^1$ and $q = M$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \bar{N}(r, u_j^l, F_n^1) \geq (M - 1)T(r, F_n^1) - \bar{N}(r, F_n^1) - S_1(r)$$

Since for large r ,

$$S_1(r) \leq T(r, F_n^1),$$

so

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^M \overline{N}(r, u_j^l, F_n^1) &\geq (M-3)T(r, F_n^1) \\ &> (M-4)T(r, F_n^1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$T(r, F_{n+p}^i) > M_1 T(r, F_n^1), \text{ where } M_1 = M - 4,$$

outside a set of r intervals of total finite length. \square

3. THEOREM

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. . *If f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k belong to class II, then $f_1(z)$ has an infinity of k -relative fix points of exact order n ($> k$) for every positive integer n , provided $\frac{T(r, F_n^i)}{T(r, F_n^1)}$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$ are bounded.*

Proof. Here we consider the function

$$g(z) = \frac{F_n^1(z)}{z}, \quad r_0 < |z| < \infty.$$

Then

$$(5) \quad T(r, g) = T(r, F_n^1) + O(\log r).$$

Now we assume that $f(z)$ has only finite number of k -relative fix points of exact order n . Taking $q = 2$, $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 1$, we have from (3)

$$(6) \quad T(r, g) \leq \overline{N}(r, \infty, g) + \overline{N}(r, 0, g) + \overline{N}(r, 1, g) + S_1(r, g),$$

where $S_1(r, g) = O(\log T(r, g))$ outside a set of r intervals of finite length [4].

We have

$$\overline{N}(r, 0, g) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\overline{n}(t, 0, g)}{t} dt,$$

where $\overline{n}(t, 0, g)$ is the number of roots of $g(z) = 0$ in $r_0 < |z| \leq t$, each multiple root taken once at a time. The distinct roots of $g(z) = 0$ in $r_0 < |z| \leq t$ are the roots of $F_n^1(z) = 0$ in $r_0 < |z| \leq t$. Since $F_n^1(z)$ has a singularity at $z = 0$, an essential singularity at $z = \infty$ with $F_n^1(z) \neq 0, \infty$, so $\overline{n}(t, 0, g) = 0$. So $\overline{N}(r, 0, g) = 0$. Similarly $\overline{N}(r, \infty, g) = 0$. So

$$T(r, g) \leq \overline{N}(r, 1, g) + S_1(r, g)$$

Again $F_n^1(z) = z$ when $g(z) = 1$.

So

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{N}(r, 1, g) &= \bar{N}(r, 0, F_n^1 - z) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \bar{N}(r, 0, F_j^1 - z) + O(\log r),\end{aligned}$$

here $O(\log r)$ arises due to the assumption that $f_1(z)$ has only a finite number of fix points of exact order n .

We have using (6),

$$\begin{aligned}T(r, g) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \bar{N}(r, 0, F_j^1 - z) + O(\log r) + O(\log T(r, g)) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} T(r, F_j^1) + O(\log T(r, g)) + O(\log r) \\ &= T(r, F_n^1) \left[\left\{ \frac{T(r, F_{j_1}^1)}{T(r, F_n^1)} + \frac{T(r, F_{j_{k+1}}^1)}{T(r, F_n^1)} + \dots + \frac{T(r, F_{j_{kp_1-(k-1)}}^1)}{T(r, F_n^1)} \right\} \right. \\ &\quad + \left\{ \frac{T(r, F_{j_2}^2)}{T(r, F_n^2)} + \frac{T(r, F_{j_{k+2}}^2)}{T(r, F_n^2)} + \dots + \frac{T(r, F_{j_{kp_2-(k-2)}}^2)}{T(r, F_n^2)} \right\} \frac{T(r, F_n^2)}{T(r, F_n^1)} \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + \left\{ \frac{T(r, F_{j_k}^1)}{T(r, F_n^k)} + \frac{T(r, F_{j_{2k}}^1)}{T(r, F_n^k)} + \dots + \frac{T(r, F_{j_{kp_k}}^1)}{T(r, F_n^k)} \right\} \frac{T(r, F_n^k)}{T(r, F_n^1)} \\ &\quad + \left. \frac{O\left(\log \left\{ T(r, F_n^1) \left(1 + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, F_n^1)}\right)\right\}\right)}{T(r, F_n^1)} + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, F_n^1)} \right], \text{ where} \\ &\quad j_1, j_{k+1}, \dots, j_{kp_1-(k-1)}; j_2, j_{k+2}, \dots, j_{kp_2-(k-2)}; \dots; j_k, j_{2k}, \dots, j_{kp_k} \\ &\quad \text{are strictly less than } n \text{ and are of the form } kp_1 - (k-1), kp_2 - (k-2), \\ &\quad \dots, kp_k, (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{N}) \\ &< T(r, F_n^1) \left[\frac{n-1}{2kn} + \frac{n-1}{2kn} + \dots + \frac{n-1}{2kn} \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \frac{O\left(\log \left\{ T(r, F_n^1) \left(1 + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, F_n^1)}\right)\right\}\right)}{T(r, F_n^1)} + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, F_n^1)} \right], \text{ for all large } r, \text{ by}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 and since $\frac{T(r, F_n^i)}{T(r, F_n^1)}$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$ are bounded

$$< \frac{1}{2}T(r, F_n^1).$$

Therefore, $T(r, g) < T(r, F_n^1)$, for all large r . This contradicts (5).

Therefore $f_1(z)$ has infinity many k -relative fix points of exact order n .

This completes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] I.N.BAKER, *The existence of fix points of entire functions*, Math. Zeit., 73(1960), 280 – 284.
- [2] P.BHATTACHARYYA, *An extension of a theorem of Baker*, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen, 27(1980), 273 – 277.
- [3] L.BIEBERBACH, *Theorie der Gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen*, Berlin,1953.
- [4] W.K.HAYMAN, *Meromorphic functions*, The Oxford University Press,1964.
- [5] B.K.LAHIRI AND D.BANERJEE, *On the existence of relative fix points*, Istanbul Univ. Fen Fak. Mat. Dergisi, 55-56(1996 – 1997), 283 – 292.