

# Fixed Point Theorem for $(\phi, MF)$ -Contraction on $C^*$ -Algebra Valued Metric Spaces

Mohamed Rossafi<sup>1</sup>, Hafida Massit<sup>2</sup>, Samir Kabbaj<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LaSMA Laboratory Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences, Dhar El Mahraz University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, B. P. 1796 Fes Atlas, Morocco

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Partial Differential Equations, Spectral Algebra and Geometry Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco

Correspondence should be addressed to Hafida Massit: massithafida@yahoo.fr

Email(s): rossafimohamed@gmail.com, mohamed.rossafi@usmba.ac.ma (M. Rossafi); massithafida@yahoo.fr (H. Massit); samkabbaj@yahoo.fr (S. Kabbaj)

## Abstract

This present article extends the new notion of mapping called  $(\phi, MF)$ -contraction in the frame work of  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric spaces and establishing the existence and uniqueness of fixed point for them. Non-trivial examples are further provided to support the hypotheses of our results.

**Keywords:** Fixed point;  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric spaces;  $(\phi, F)$ -contraction;  $(\phi, MF)$ - $C^*$  valued contraction.

## Introduction

Many generalizations of the concept of metric spaces are defined and some fixed point theorems were proved in these spaces. In particular,  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric spaces were introduced by Ma et al. [5] as a generalization of metric spaces they proved certain fixed point theorems, by giving the definition of  $C^*$ -algebra valued contractive mapping analogous to Banach contraction principle. Various fixed point results were established on such spaces, see [3, 4, 8] and references therein.

In this paper, inspired by the work done in [6, 10], we introduce the notion of  $(\phi, MF)$ -contraction and establish some new fixed point theorems for mappings in the setting of complete  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric spaces. Moreover, some illustrative examples are presented to support the obtained results.

## preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we denote  $\mathbb{A}$  by an unital  $C^*$ -algebra with linear involution  $*$ , such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$ ,

$$(xy)^* = y^*x^* \text{ and } x^{**} = x.$$

We call an element  $x \in \mathbb{A}$  a positive element, denote it by  $x \geq \theta$  if  $x \in \mathbb{A}_h = \{x \in \mathbb{A} : x = x^*\}$  and  $\sigma(x) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $\sigma(x)$  is the spectrum of  $x$ .

Using positive element, we can define a partial ordering  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{A}_h$  as follows:

$$x \leq y \text{ if and only if } y - x \geq \theta$$

where  $\theta$  means the zero element in  $\mathbb{A}$ .

We denote the set  $x \in \mathbb{A} : x \geq \theta$  by  $\mathbb{A}_+$  and  $|x| = (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

**Remark 1.1.** When  $\mathbb{A}$  is an unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then for any  $x \in \mathbb{A}_+$  we have

$$x \leq I \iff \|x\| \leq 1$$

The following definition was given by D. Wardowski in [9].

**Definition 1.2.** [11] Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all functions  $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Phi$  be the family of all functions  $\phi: ]0, +\infty[ \rightarrow ]0, +\infty[$  satisfying:

- (i)  $F$  is strictly increasing.
- (ii) For each sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive numbers

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} x_n = 0 \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = -\infty.$$

(iii)  $\liminf_{s \rightarrow \alpha^+} \phi(s) > 0$  for all  $s > 0$ .

(iv) There exists  $k \in ]0, 1[$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^k F(x) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [11] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. A mapping  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is called a  $(\phi, F)$ -contraction on  $(X, d)$  if there exists  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that

$$(d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies F(d(Tx, Ty)) + \phi(d(x, y)) \leq F(d(x, y)))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  for which  $Tx \neq Ty$

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A self-map  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a  $MF$ -contraction if there exists  $\tau > 0$  such for  $x, y \in X$

$$M(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \tau + F(M(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(M(x, y))$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2}\}$$

and  $F: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  satisfies (i) and (ii) of definition 2.2

**Definition 1.5.** [12] Let the function  $\phi: A^+ \rightarrow A^+$  be positive if having the following constraints :

- (i)  $\phi$  is continuous and nondecreasing
- (ii)  $\phi(a) = \theta$  if and only if  $a = \theta$
- (iii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(a) = \theta$

**Definition 1.6.** [12] Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras.

A mapping  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  is said to be  $C^*$ -homomorphism if:

- (i)  $\phi(ax + by) = a\phi(x) + b\phi(y)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x, y \in A$
- (ii)  $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$
- (iii)  $\phi(x^*) = \phi(x)^*$  for all  $x \in A$
- (iv)  $\phi$  maps the unit in  $A$  to the unit in  $B$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** [7] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $C^*$ -homomorphism, for all  $x \in A$  we have

$$\sigma(\phi(x)) \subset \sigma(x) \text{ and } \|\phi(x)\| \leq \|\phi\|.$$

**Corollary 1.8.** [12] Every  $C^*$ -homomorphism is bounded.

**Corollary 1.9.** [12] Suppose that  $\phi$  is  $C^*$ -isomorphism from  $A$  to  $B$ , then  $\sigma(\phi(x)) = \sigma(x)$  and  $\|\phi(x)\| = \|\phi\|$  for all  $x \in A$ .

**Lemma 1.10.** [12] Every  $*$ -homomorphism is positive.

## Main result

Inspired by Wardowski in [2], we introduce the notion of  $(\phi, MF)$ -contraction on a  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric space.

**Definition 1.11.** Let

$$F : \mathbb{A}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$$

a function satisfying:

- (i)  $F$  is continuous and nondecreasing .
- (ii)  $F(t) = \theta$  if and only if  $t = \theta$ .

A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a  $(\phi, MF)$   $C^*$  valued contraction if there exists  $\phi : \mathbb{A}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  an  $*$ -homomorphism such that

$$\forall x, y \in X; M(Tx, Ty) \geq \theta \implies F(M(Tx, Ty)) + \phi(M(x, y)) \leq F(M(x, y)) \quad (1)$$

Where  $M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2}\}$ .

**Example 1.12.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}^2$  Then  $\mathbb{A}$  is a  $C^*$ - algebra with norm  $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\|(x, y)\| = (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Define a  $C^*$ - algebra valued metric  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  on  $X$  by

$$d(x, y) = (|x - y|, 0)$$

With ordering on  $\mathbb{A}$  by

$$(a, b) \leq (c, d) \iff a \leq c \text{ and } b \leq d$$

A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  given by  $Tx = \frac{x}{3}$  is continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{A}$ .

Let  $F : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ . Defined by

$$F(x, y) = ((x - y)^2, 0)$$

It is clear that  $F$  satisfies (i) and (ii).

Now  $M(x, y) = d(x, y)$  and

$$M(Tx, Ty) = d(Tx, Ty) = (|Tx - Ty|, 0) = (|\frac{x}{3} - \frac{y}{3}|, 0)$$

$$\text{We have } F(M(Tx, Ty)) = F(d(Tx, Ty)) = F(d(\frac{x}{3}, \frac{y}{3})) = F((\frac{x}{3} - \frac{y}{3})^2, 0).$$

And

$$(\frac{x}{3} - \frac{y}{3})^2 - (x - y)^2 \leq -\frac{1}{3}(x - y)^2.$$

Therefore  $T$  is a valued  $(\phi, MF)$   $C^*$ -valued contraction with

$$\phi(M(x, y)) = \left(\frac{1}{3}(x - y)^2, 0\right).$$

**Theorem 1.13.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a complete  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\phi, MF)$ - $C^*$  valued contraction mapping.

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* : Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and fixed we define a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly, if  $x_{n+1} = x_n$ , then  $x_0$  is a fixed point of  $T$  and is unique.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), \frac{d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$M(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = \max\{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})\}.$$

If  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \text{ and } M(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}).$$

Then

$$F(M(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) + \phi(M(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(M(x_n, x_{n+1}))$$

implies

$$F(d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})) \leq F(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) - \phi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))) \leq F(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \text{ with a contradiction.}$$

denote

$$d_n = d(x_{n+1}; x_n); n = 0; 1; 2; \dots$$

Suppose that  $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$  for every  $n \in X$  then  $d_n > \theta$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and using (1) the following holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$F(d_n) \leq F(d_{n-1}) - \phi(d_{n-1}) < F(d_{n-1}) \quad (2)$$

Hence  $F$  is non decreasing and so the sequence  $(d_n)$  is monotonically decreasing in  $\mathbb{A}$ . So there exists  $\theta \leq t \in \mathbb{A}$  such that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow t \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

From (2) we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(d_n) = \theta$  that together with (ii) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \theta \quad (3)$$

Now we shall show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$ .

Let,  $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_p) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = \theta$ .

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since the space is complete, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$ .

Again  $T$  is continuous. Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Tu$  ie  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = u = Tu$ .

Thus  $u$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Again suppose  $Tu \neq u$ . So  $d(Tu, u) > \theta$ .

Since  $F$  is strictly increasing, we take the limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$F(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(Tx_n, Tu)) \leq F(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(x_n, u)).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(x_n, u) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{d(x_n, u), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(u, Tu), \frac{d(x_n, Tu) + d(u, Tx_n)}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{\theta, \theta, \theta, \theta\} = \theta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(Tx_n, Tu) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{d(Tx_n, Tu), d(Tx_n, T^2x_n), d(Tu, T^2u), \frac{d(Tx_n, T^2u) + d(Tu, T^2x_n)}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{\theta, \theta, \theta, \theta\} = \theta \end{aligned}$$

We get  $F(\theta) < F(\theta)$  which a contradiction.

Thus  $Tu = u$ .

To show the uniqueness, let  $v$  be another fixed point of  $T$ .

Then by given condition

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u, v) + F(M(Tu, Tv)) &\leq F(M(u, v)) \\ \Rightarrow \phi(u, v) + F(M(u, v)) &\leq F(M(u, v)) \\ \Rightarrow \phi(u, v) &\leq \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction.

Therefore  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ . □

**Example 1.14.** Considering the Example 3.2, we conclude that inequality (1) remains valid for  $F$  and  $T$  constructed as above and consequently by an application of Theorem 3.3,  $T$  has a unique fixed point. it is seen that 0 is the unique fixed point of  $T$ .

**Corollary 1.15.**  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a complete  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\phi, MF)$ - $C^*$  valued contraction mapping.

Where

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}.$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

Inspired by  $MF$ - contraction of Hardy Rogers type in a complete metric space [1] we give

**Theorem 1.16.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a complete  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\phi, MF)$   $C^*$ -valued contraction of Hardy Rogers type where

$M(x, y) = \alpha_1 d(x, y) + \alpha_2 d(x, Tx) + \alpha_3 d(y, Ty) + \alpha_4 d(x, Ty) + \alpha_5 d(y, Tx)$  and  $\alpha_i \geq 0, i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 < 1$ .

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_n$  be a sequence in  $X$  with an initial approximation  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Clearly, if  $x_{n+1} = x_n$ , then  $x_0$  is a fixed point of  $T$  and is unique. Now we show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \theta$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= \alpha_1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_n, Tx_n) + \alpha_3 d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) + \alpha_4 d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + \alpha_5 d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) \\ &= \alpha_1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_3 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \\ &\quad \alpha_4 d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + \alpha_5 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) &= \alpha_1 d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) + \alpha_3 d(Tx_{n+1}, T^2x_{n+1}) + \\ &\quad \alpha_4 d(Tx_n, T^2x_{n+1}) + \alpha_5 d(Tx_{n+1}, T^2x_n) \\ &= \alpha_1 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \alpha_3 d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \\ &\quad \alpha_4 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+3}) + \alpha_5 d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\leq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}), \end{aligned}$$

If  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$ ,  
then

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$$

and

$$M(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})$$

Then

$$F((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})) \leq F((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) - \phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))$$

Using the properties of  $F$  and  $\phi$  we have

$$F(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \leq F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) - \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})).$$

Since  $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) < 1$ .

There exists  $u \in \mathbb{A}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = u$ .

Taking  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  in  $F(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \leq F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) - \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))$  we have

$F(u) \leq F(u) - \phi(u)$  which is a contradiction unless  $u = \theta$ .

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \theta.$$

We can easily show as above in theorem 3.3 that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since the space  $X$  is complete, there exists an  $a \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = a$ .

Also  $T$  is continuous. So we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} Tx_n = Ta \text{ i.e. } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = a = Ta.$$

Thus  $a$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Uniqueness. Now, suppose that  $z, u \in X$  are two fixed points of  $T$  such that  $u \neq z$ .

Therefore, we have

$$d(u, z) = d(Tu, Tz) > \theta$$

We have

$$F(M(z, u)) = F(M(Tz, Tu)) \leq F(M(z, u)) - \phi(M(z, u)) < F(M(z, u)).$$

It is a contradiction. Therefore  $u = z$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.17.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a complete  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\phi, MF)$ - $C^*$ -algebra valued of Banach -type, where

$$M(x, y) = \alpha d(x, y) \text{ and } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Corollary 1.18.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a complete  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\phi, MF)$ -Kannan -type  $C^*$ -algebra valued contraction, where

$$M(x, y) = \alpha d(x, Tx) + \beta d(y, Ty) \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1.$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Corollary 1.19.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a complete  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\phi, MF)$ -Chaterjea type  $C^*$ -algebra valued contraction, where

$$M(x, y) = \alpha d(x, Ty) + \beta d(y, Tx) \text{ and } \forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta < 1.$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Corollary 1.20.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a complete  $C^*$ -algebra valued metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\phi, MF)$ -Reich type  $C^*$ -algebra valued contraction, where

$$M(x, y) = \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, Tx) + \gamma d(y, Ty) \text{ and } \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1.$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Example 1.21.** Let  $X = [0, 2]$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Suppose that  $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, |x - y|)$  for  $x, y \in X$ .

Then,  $(X, \mathbb{R}^2, d)$  is a  $C^*$ - algebra valued metric space.

$T : X \rightarrow X$  be given by  $Tx = \frac{1}{3}x$  and  $F$  is given by  $F(x, y) = (x^2, 0)$ .

Suppose  $y < x$ .

Then

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} = \max\{(|x - y|, |x - y|), (\frac{2}{3}|x|, \frac{2}{3}|x|), (\frac{2}{3}|y|, \frac{2}{3}|y|)\}.$$

If  $|x - y| \leq \frac{2}{3}|x|$ , thus  $M(x, y) = (\frac{2}{3}|x|, \frac{2}{3}|x|)$  and  $M(Tx, Ty) = (\frac{2}{9}|x|, \frac{2}{9}|x|)$ .

Therefore  $F(M(x, y)) = (\frac{x^2}{9}, 0)$  and  $F(M(Tx, Ty)) = (\frac{4x^2}{81}, 0)$ .

Taking

$$\phi(M(x, y)) = (\frac{5x^2}{81}, 0).$$

Therefore by Corollary 3.5  $T$  has a unique fixed point 0.

If  $\frac{2}{3}|x| \leq |x - y|$ , then  $M(x, y) = (|x - y|, |x - y|)$  and  $M(Tx, Ty) = (\frac{1}{3}|x - y|, \frac{1}{3}|x - y|)$ .

Therefore,

$$F(M(x, y)) = F(|x - y|, |x - y|) = (|x - y|^2, 0)$$

and

$$F(M(Tx, Ty)) = F((\frac{1}{3}|x - y|, \frac{1}{3}|x - y|)) = ((\frac{1}{9}|x - y|^2, 0))$$

Taking,

$$\phi(M(x, y)) = (\frac{4}{9}|x - y|^2, 0)$$

Therefore by Corollary 3.5  $T$  has a unique fixed point 0.

## Acknowledgments

It is our great pleasure to thank the referee for his careful reading of the paper and for several helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] D. Barman, K. Tiwary, Fixed point theorems for generalized F-contraction on metric space, *Sarajevo J. Math.* 17 (2021), 119-128.
- [2] N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi, P. Salimi, Fixed point theory in  $\alpha$ -complete metric spaces with applications, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 280817.
- [3] M. Jleli, B. Samet, A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2014 (2014), 38.
- [4] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, On generalized metric spaces: a survey, *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.* 5 (2014), 3-13.
- [5] Z. Ma, L. Jiang, H. Sun,  $C^*$ -algebra-valued metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), 206.
- [6] H. Piri, S. Rahrovi, H. Marasi, P. Kumam, F-contraction on asymmetric metric spaces. *J. Math. Comput. SCI-JM.* 17 (2017), 32-40
- [7] S. Sakai,  $C^*$ -Algebras and  $W^*$ -Algebras, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [8] B. Samet, Discussion on "A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces" by A. Branciari. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 76 (2010), 493-494.
- [9] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012), 2154-2165.
- [10] A. Taheri, A. Farajzadeh. A New Generalization of  $\alpha$ -Type Almost-F-Contractions and  $\alpha$ -Type F-Suzuki Contractions in Metric Spaces and Their Fixed Point Theorems. *Carpathian Math. Publ.* 11 (2019), 475-492.
- [11] D. Wardowski, Solving existence problems via F-contractions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 146 (2018), 1585-1598.
- [12] K. Zhu, *An Introduction to operator Algebras*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1961.