

## DUAL PROPERTIES OF $\omega$ -ORDER REVERSING PARTIAL CONTRACTION MAPPING IN SEMIGROUP OF LINEAR OPERATOR

JUDE BABATUNDE OMOSOWON<sup>1</sup>, AKINOLA YUSSUFF AKINYELE<sup>2,\*</sup>,  
FOLASHADE MISTURA JIMOH<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physical Sciences, Al-Hikmah University, Ilorin, Nigeria*

\*Correspondence: olaakinyele04@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. This paper consists of results on dual properties of a  $\omega$ -order reversing partial contraction mapping ( $\omega$ -ORCP<sub>n</sub>), we assume a Banach space  $X = H$  (Hilbert space). We established that the operator  $A \in \omega$ -ORCP<sub>n</sub> which is the infinitesimal generator of  $C_0$ -Semigroup is reflexive, densely defined and a closed linear operator which is now considered as a semigroup of linear operator.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Dual properties of a semigroup of linear operator is an important aspect of  $C_0$ -semigroup because of the emphasis on weakly topologies of operator thereby making it to obtain a linear operator called the weak generator of a semigroup  $(T(t)^*)_{t \geq 0}$ . Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space,  $X_n \subseteq X$  be a finite set,  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  the  $C_0$ -semigroup,  $\omega$ -ORCP<sub>n</sub> be  $\omega$ -order-reversing partial contraction mapping (semigroup of linear operator) which is an example of  $C_0$ -semigroup,  $\omega$ -ORCP<sub>n</sub>  $\subseteq$  ORCP<sub>n</sub> (Order Reversing Partial Contraction Mapping). let  $M_m(\mathbb{N} \cup 0)$  be a matrix,  $L(X)$  be a bounded linear operator in  $X$ ,  $P_n$ , a partial transformation semigroup,  $\rho(A)$ , a resolvent of A, where A is the generator of a semigroup of linear operator and  $A^*$  is the adoint of A. This paper will focus on dual properties and results on  $\omega$ -ORCP<sub>n</sub> in a semigroup of linear operator called  $C_0$ -semigroup.

Yosida [13] established and proved some results on differentiability and representation of one-parameter semigroup of linear operators. Feller [6], obtained semigroups of transformation in a weak topologies. Phillips [9] deduced the adjoint of semigroup and Balakrishnan [1]

---

*Key words and phrases.*  $\omega$ -ORCP<sub>n</sub>, Sun Dual Semigroup,  $C_0$ -Semigroup, Adjoint Semigroup, Dual of Semigroup.

introduced an operator calculus for infinitesimal generators of semigroup. Bellini-Morante and Mcbride[3] applied some evolution equations on semigroups. Davies [4], obtained one parameter semigroups and Haraux [7] proved some linear semiroups in Banach space. See [5], Engel and Nagel established one-parameter semigroup for linear evolution equations and in same year characterized some strongly continuous groups of linear operators on a Hilbert space. In [12], Vrable established and proved some results of  $C_0$ -semigroup and its applications. Rauf and Akinyele [10], obtained  $\omega$ -order-preserving partial contraction mapping and established its properties, also in [11], Rauf *et.al.* established some results of stability and spectra properties on semigroup of linear operator.

**Definition 1.1** ( $C_0$  – Semigroup) [12]

A  $C_0$ -Semigroup is a strongly continuous one parameter semigroup of bounded linear operator on Banach space.

**Definition 1.2** ( $\omega$ -ORCP $_n$ ) [10]

A transformation  $\alpha \in P_n$  is called  $\omega$ -order-reversing partial contraction mapping if  $\forall x, y \in \text{Dom}\alpha : x \leq y \implies \alpha x \geq \alpha y$  and at least one of its transformation must satisfy  $\alpha y = y$  such that  $T(t+s) = T(t)T(s)$  whenever  $t, s > 0$  and otherwise for  $T(0) = I$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Dual of Semigroup) [12]

The family  $\{T(t)^*; t \geq 0\} \subseteq L(X^*)$ , where, for each  $t \geq 0$ ,  $T(t)^*$  is the adjoint of the operator  $T(t)$ , is called the dual of the semigroup  $\{T(t)^*; t \geq 0\}$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Sun Dual Semigroup)[5]

Corresponding to a strongly continuous semigroup  $(T(t)^*)_{t \geq 0}$  on a Banach space  $X$ , we defined its sun dual (or semigroup dual) by

$X^\odot = \{x^* \in X^* : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)^*x^* - x^*\| = 0\}$  and call the semigroup given by the restricted operators.

$T(t)^\odot = T(t)^*$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , the sun dual semigroup.

**Definition 1.5** (Translation Semigroup)[5]

The (left) translation operators  $[T(t)f(s) = f(s+t)]$ ,  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , define a strongly continuous (semi)group on the spaces  $C_{ub}\mathbb{R}$  and  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

**Definition 1.6** (Adjoint Semigroup)[12]

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space identified with its own topological dual. The operator  $A : D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$  is called:

- (i) self-adjoint if  $A = A^*$
- (ii) skew-adjoint if  $A = -A^*$
- (iii) symmetric if  $\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle$  for each  $x, y \in D(A)$ ;
- (iv) skew-symmetric if  $\langle Ax, y \rangle = -\langle x, Ay \rangle$  for  $x, y \in D(A)$ .

**1.1. Some Basic Properties of Dual Semigroup Operator.** For a semigroup to be dual it is not necessarily strongly continuous on  $X^*$  and it is still possible to associate a

"generator" to it. Hence, it needs to be:

(i) Translative, i.e.

$$[T(t)f(s) = f(s + t)], s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(ii) Adjoint, i.e.

$$A = A^*$$

$$A = -A^*$$

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle$$

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = -\langle x, Ay \rangle.$$

(iii) Dual and Sun Dual i.e

for  $\{T(t)^*; t \geq 0\} \subseteq L(X^*)$ , then we have

$X^\odot = \{x^* \in X^* : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)^*x^* - x^*\| = 0\}$ , where

$$T(t)^\odot = T(t)^*, t \geq 0.$$

### Example 1

$2 \times 2$  matrix  $[M_m(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})]$

Suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & - \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $T(t) = e^{tA}$ , then

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t} & e^{2t} \\ e^{2t} & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Example 2

$3 \times 3$  matrix  $[M_m(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})]$

Suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $T(t) = e^{tA}$ , then

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{3t} & e^{2t} & e^t \\ e^{2t} & e^{2t} & e^t \\ e^{3t} & e^{2t} & e^{2t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Example 3

$3 \times 3$  matrix  $[M_m(\mathbb{C})]$ , we have

for each  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  where  $\rho(A)$  is a resolvent set on  $X$ .

Suppose we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $T(t) = e^{tA_\lambda}$ , then

$$e^{tA_\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{3t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} & e^{t\lambda} \\ e^{2t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} & e^{t\lambda} \\ e^{3t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### Example 4

Let  $\{T(t); t \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \subset L(L^1(\mathbb{R}_+))$  be the translation semigroup, i.e.

$$[T(t)f](s) = f(t + s)$$

for each  $t \geq 0$ , each  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and for  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Recalling that the dual of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is  $L^\infty$ , we easily deduce that, for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , the dual semigroup  $T(t)^* : L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is defined by  $[T(t)^*\varphi](s) = \varphi(s + t)$  for each  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , and  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . This follows from

$(T(t)f, \varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(s - t)\varphi(s)ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \varphi(s)f(s - t)ds$  for each  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , and each  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . On the other hand, we can easily deduce that  $\{T(t)^*; t \geq 0\}$  does not satisfy the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T(t)^*\varphi = \varphi$  except if  $\varphi$  is "uniformly continuous" on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ .

#### 1.2. Theorem. Hille-Yoshida [12]

A linear operator  $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is the infinitesimal generator for a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contraction if and only if

- i.  $A$  is densely defined and closed,
- ii.  $(0, +\infty) \subseteq \rho(A)$  and for each  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$(1.1) \quad \|R(\lambda, A)\|_{L(X)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

This section presents the result of approximations on  $\omega$ - $OCP_n$  in semigroup of linear operator:

#### Theorem 2.1

Suppose  $X = H$  is a complex Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , let  $A : D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$  be densely defined,  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator and let  $iA$  be defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(iA) = D(A) \\ (iA)x = iAx \text{ for each } x \in D(iA) \end{cases}$$

for each  $x \in D(iA)$  and  $A \in \omega$ - $ORCP_n$ .

Then  $A^*$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear and  $(iA)^* = -iA^*$  and  $A$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $A$  is skew-adjoint.

**Proof**

Since  $A$  is skew-adjoint if and only if  $iA$  is self-adjoint, then the adjoint of  $A$  on the complex Hilbert space  $H$  identified with its own topological dual  $H_c^*$ , coincides with the adjoint of  $A$  on the real Hilbert space  $H$  is identified with its own topological dual  $H_r^*$ . Then, let  $x \in D(A^*)$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . For each  $y \in D(A)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \lambda A^* x, y \rangle &= \langle A^* x, \bar{\lambda} y \rangle = \langle x, A(\bar{\lambda} y) \rangle \\
 &= \langle x, \bar{\lambda} A y \rangle \\
 (2.1) \quad &= \langle \lambda x, A y \rangle \\
 &= \langle A^*(\lambda x), y \rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $D(A)$  is dense in  $H$  and  $y \in D(A)$  arbitrary, from (2.1) above it follows that  $A^*(\lambda x) = \lambda A^* x$ , which proves that  $A^*$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Now, let  $x \in D(A^*)$  and  $y \in D(A)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle -iA^* x, y \rangle &= \langle A^* x, iy \rangle \\
 &= \langle x, A(iy) \rangle \\
 (2.2) \quad &= \langle x, iA y \rangle \\
 &= \langle (iA)^* x, y \rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $x \in D((iA)^*)$ , and

$$(2.3) \quad \text{graph}(-iA^*) \subseteq \text{graph}((A)^*),$$

substituting  $A$  by  $iA$  in (2.3), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \text{graph}(-i(iA)^*) \subseteq \text{graph}((-A)^*).$$

From the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearity, we conclude that

$$(2.5) \quad \text{graph}(iA)^* \subseteq \text{graph}((-iA)^*).$$

and thus it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad \text{graph}(iA)^* = \text{graph}(-A^*).$$

Finally, if  $A$  is self-adjoint, from the previous consideration, we conclude that

$$(2.7) \quad (iA)^* = -iA^* = iA$$

Which shows that  $iA$  is skew-adjoint. Conversely if  $iA$  is skew-adjoint, we have

$$(2.8) \quad A^* = (-i(iA))^* = i(iA)^* = -i(iA) = A$$

and hence  $A$  is self-adjoint. Similarly, if  $A$  is skew-adjoint, then

$$(2.9) \quad (iA)^* = -iA^* = iA$$

and so  $iA$  is self-adjoint. Conversely, if  $iA$  is self-adjoint, then

$$(2.10) \quad A^* = (-i(iA))^* = i((iA)^*) = i(iA) = -A$$

Hence, the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.2**

Let  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ , where  $\omega\text{-ORCP}_n \in L(X)$ , then it follows that  $A \in L(X)$  and  $A^* \in L(X^*)$  so that

$$\|A\|_{L(X)} = \|A^*\|_{L(X^*)}$$

**Proof**

Suppose  $x^* \in X^*$ , then  $x \rightarrow (Ax, x^*)$  is a linear continuous functional on  $X$ , denoted by  $y$  since

$$(2.11) \quad (x, y^*) = (Ax, x^*)$$

we have

$$(2.12) \quad D(A^*) = X^*$$

In addition

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^*\|_{L(X^*)} &= \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \|A^*x^*\| \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} |(x, A^*x^*)| \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} |(Tx, x^*)| \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Ax\| \\ &= \|A\|_{L(X)} \end{aligned}$$

Which complete the proof

**Theorem 2.3**

Let  $X$  be reflexive and let  $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ , where  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$  (densely defined linear closed operator), that is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ . Then

- i.  $A^*$  is densely defined and closed,
- ii.  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions whose infinitesimal generator is  $A^* : D(A^*) \subseteq X^* \rightarrow X^*$ .

**Proof**

we need to show that  $A^*$  is densely defined. By contradiction, assume there exist at least one reflexive Banach space and at least one densely defined linear operator  $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$

for which  $D(A^*)$  is not dense in  $X^*$ .

This means that there exists at least one element  $y^{**} \in X^{**}$ , such that  $y^{**} \neq 0$  and

$$(2.13) \quad (x^*, y^{**}) = 0$$

for each  $x \in D(A)$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . Since  $X$  is reflexive, the statement above is equivalent with : there exists  $y \in X$  such that

$$y \neq 0 \text{ and } (y, x^*) = 0,$$

for each  $x^* \in D(A^*)$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . Since the graph of  $A$  is closed in  $X \times X$ , it follows that  $(0, y) \notin \text{graph}(A)$ . By a consequence of the Hahn-Banach separation theorem applied to  $\text{graph}(A)$  and  $(0, y)$  in  $X \times X$ , it follows that there exists  $x_1^*, x_2^* \in X^*$  such that

$$(2.14) \quad (x, x_1^*) - (Ax, x_2^*) = 0$$

for each  $x \in D(A)$ , and  $(0, x_1^*) - (y, x_2^*) \neq 0$ .

From the second relation, it follows that both  $x_2^* \neq 0$  and  $(y, x_2^*) \neq 0$ .

On the other hand, from (2.14), we deduce that  $x_2^* \in D(A^*)$  and  $A^*x_2^* = x_1^*$ , which implies

$$(y, x_2^*) = 0$$

This contradiction can be eliminated only if  $D(A^*)$  is dense in  $X^*$ . In order to prove that  $A^*$  is closed, let  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $D(A^*)$  with the property that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^* = x^* \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^*x_n^* = y^*.$$

By definition of the operator  $A^*$ , we have

$$(Ax, x^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax, x_n^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x, A^*x_n^*) = (x, y^*)$$

for each  $x \in D(A)$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . Since  $D(A)$  is dense, it follows that  $x^* \in D(A^*)$  and

$$(2.15) \quad A^*x^* = y^*$$

So  $A^*$  is closed, and that complete the proof of (i).

To prove (ii), we need to show that  $A^*$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2. By (i) above,  $A^*$  is densely defined and closed. So  $A^*$  satisfies (i) in Theorem 1.2. We prove that  $(0, +\infty) \subseteq \rho(A^*)$  and for each  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$(2.16) \quad \|R(\lambda, A)\|_{L(X^*)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Since  $A$  is infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ , we have  $(0, +\infty) \subseteq \rho(A^*)$ .

Suppose there exists  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  and  $\lambda \in \rho(A^*)$ , then we have

$$(2.17) \quad R(\lambda; A^*) = R(\lambda; A)^*$$

By virtue of (2.17), we have  $\rho(A) \subseteq \rho(A^*)$  and thus  $(0, +\infty) \subseteq \rho(A^*)$ .

By (2.17) and Theorem 1.2, it follows that

$$(2.18) \quad \|R(\lambda, A)^*\|_{L(X^*)} = \|R(\lambda, A)\|_{L(X)}$$

Recalling that

$$(2.19) \quad \|R(\lambda, A)\|_{L(X)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

for each  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$(2.20) \quad \|R(\lambda, A^*)\|_{L(X^*)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

for each  $\lambda > 0$ . Hence,  $A^*$  is infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $\{T^*(t); t \geq 0\}$ . To conclude the proof, we need to show that  $T^*(t) = T(t)^*$  for each  $t \geq 0$ . To show this, let us recall that for each  $x^* \in D(A^*)$ ,

$$T^*(t)x^* = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{t(A^*)_\lambda} x^*$$

where  $(A^*)_\lambda$  is the Yosida approximation of the operator  $A^*$ . By virtue of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$(2.21) \quad (A^*)_\lambda = (A_\lambda)^*$$

In addition

$$(2.22) \quad e^{t(A_\lambda)^*} = (e^{tA_\lambda})^*$$

and thus,

$$(2.23) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{t(A^*)_\lambda} x^* = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{t(A_\lambda)^*} x^* = T(t)^* x^*$$

for each  $x^* \in D(A^*)$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . Since  $D(A^*)$  is dense in  $X^*$ , hence the proof is complete.

#### Theorem 2.4

Let  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $X$  with the infinitesimal generator of  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$  and let  $\{T(t)^*; t \geq 0\}$  be the dual semigroup. If  $A^*$  is the adjoint of  $A$  and  $X^\odot$  the closure of  $D(A^*)$  in  $X^*$ , then the restriction  $T(t)^\odot$  of  $T(t)^*$  to  $X^\odot$  is a  $C_0$ -Semigroup of contractions whose infinitesimal generator  $A^\odot$  is the part of  $A^*$  in  $X^\odot$ .

#### Proof:

Let

$$(2.24) \quad X^\odot = \{x^\odot \in X^*; \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)^* x^\odot - x^\odot\| = 0\}.$$

Clearly  $X^\odot$  is a subspace in  $X^*$  and  $D(A^*)$  is dense in  $X^\odot$ . If  $x^\odot \in D(A^*)$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ , we have

$$(2.25) \quad |(x, T(t)^* x^\odot - x^\odot)| = |(T(t)x - x, x^\odot)|$$



$$(2.26) \quad = |A \int_0^t T(s)x ds, x^\circ| \leq t\|x\| \|A^*x^\circ\|$$

Accordingly,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |(x, T(t)^*x^\circ - x^\circ)| = 0$  uniformly for  $\|x\| \leq 1$  and therefore

$$(2.27) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|T(t)^*x^\circ - x^\circ\| = 0$$

. Thus,  $D(A^*) \subseteq X^\circ$ . On the other hand, let us observe that  $\|T(t)^\circ x^\circ - x^\circ\| \leq \|T(t)^\circ x^\circ - T(t)^\circ y^\circ\| + \|T(t)^\circ y^\circ - y^\circ\| + \|y^\circ - x^\circ\|$  for each  $x^\circ, y^\circ \in X^\circ$ . Since by Theorem 2.2,

$$(2.28) \quad \|T(t)^\circ\|_{L(X^\circ)} \leq \|T(t)^*\|_{L(X^*)} = \|T(t)\|_{L(X)} = 1$$

(2.28) above shows that  $X^\circ$  is closed and  $\{T(t)^\circ; t \geq 0\}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $X^\circ$ . Let denote by  $A^\circ$  its infinitesimal generator, and remark that  $D(A^\circ) \subseteq D(A^*)$ . Thus  $D(A^*)$  is dense in  $X^\circ$ ,  $A^\circ$  is the part of  $A^*$  in  $X^\circ$  and  $T(t)^\circ$  is the part of  $T(t)^*$  in  $X^\circ$  and this complete the proof.

### Conclusion

In this paper, considering  $A \in \omega-ORCP_n$  as the infinitesimal generator of  $C_0$ -Semigroup, we hereby obtained dual and sun dual properties of a semigroup of linear operator.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Balakrishnan, An Operator Calculus for Infinitesimal Generators of Semigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **91**, (1960), 330-353.
- [2] S. Banach, Sur les Opérations dans les Ensembles Abstraits et leur Application aux Équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.*, **3**, (1922), 133-181.
- [3] A. Bellini-Morante, A. McBride, *Applied Semigroups and Evolution Equations*, Oxford Mathematical Monograph, Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1979).
- [4] E. B. Davies, *One-Parameter Semigroups*, Academic Press, London, (1980).
- [5] Engel, K., Nagel, R., *One-parameter Semigroups for Linear Equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 194, Springer, New York. **3**, (2000).
- [6] W. Feller, Semigroup of Transformation in General Weak Topologies, *Ann. of Math.*, **57**, (1953), 287-303.
- [7] A. Haraux, *Linear Semigroups in Banach Spaces.*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, 152, Longman, (1986), 93-135.
- [8] K. A. Liu, Characterization of Strongly Continuous Groups of Linear Operators on Hilbert Space, *Bull. London. math. Soc.*, **32**, (2000), 54-62.
- [9] R. S. Phillips, The Adjoint Semigroup., *Pacific Journal, Math.*, **5**, (1955), 269-283.

- [10] K. Rauf, A. Y. Akinyele, Properties of  $\omega$ -Order-Preserving Partial Contraction Mapping and its Relation to  $C_0$ -semigroup, *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, **14**,(1), (2019), 61-68.
- [11] K. Rauf, A. Y. Akinyele, M. O. Etuk, R. O. Zubair, and M. A. Aasa, Some Result of Stability and Spectra Properties on Semigroup of Linear Operator, *Advances in Pure Mathematics*,**9**, (2019), 43-51.
- [12] I. I. Vrabie,  *$C_0 - Semigroup And Application$*  , Mathematics Studies, 191,Elsevier, North-Holland, (2003).
- [13] K. Yosida, On The Differentiability and Representation of One-Parameter Semigroups of Linear Operators, *J. Math. Soc.*, Japan, **1**, (1948), 15-21.